

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS : CONSOLIDATED UNDER
LIABILITY LITIGATION (No. VI) : MDL 875

WILLIAM AND MARLENE SEIGFRIED, :

Plaintiff :

v. :

ALLEGHENY LUDLUM CORP., :
ET AL., :

Defendants. :

CIVIL ACTION

NO. ~~09-69122~~

09-16153

Transferred from the Western
District of Pennsylvania

FILED

DEC - 6 2010


MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk
By _____ Dep. Clerk

O R D E R

AND NOW, this **2nd** day of **December 2010** it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation issued by Chief Magistrate Judge Thomas J. Rueter (doc. no. 211) issued on October 22, 2010 is **ADOPTED**.¹

It is further **ORDERED** that Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgments listed in Exhibit "A," attached are **DENIED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.



EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

¹ No objections were filed within fourteen (14) days as provided for by Local Rule of Civil Procedure 72.1.

Exhibit A

<u>42</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Brief in Support and Order</i> <i>Motion filed: 09/29/2009</i> <i>Filed by: SEALITE, INC.</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i> <i>Reply filed: 08/12/2010</i>
<u>43</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>and Brief in Support</i> <i>Motion filed: 09/30/2009</i> <i>Filed by: ALLIED GROVE CORPORATION</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i> <i>Reply filed: 08/12/2010</i>
<u>45</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Brief in Support and Proposed Order</i> <i>Motion filed: 09/30/2009</i> <i>Filed by: OGLEBAY-NORTON COMPANY</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i> <i>Reply filed: 08/12/2010</i>
<u>133</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Motion filed: 04/09/2010</i> <i>Filed by: HONEYWELL, INC.</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i>
<u>146</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>and Brief in Support</i> <i>Motion filed: 06/10/2010</i> <i>Filed by: DEZURIK, INC.</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i> <i>Reply filed: 07/27/2010</i>
<u>147</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Motion filed: 06/10/2010</i> <i>Filed by: DRAVO CORPORATION</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i> <i>Reply filed: 08/12/2010</i>
<u>153</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Motion filed: 06/10/2010</i> <i>Filed by: GOULDS PUMPS, INC.</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i>
<u>157</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>for Lack of Product Identification</i> <i>Motion filed: 06/10/2010</i> <i>Filed by: GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i> <i>Reply filed: 08/12/2010</i>
<u>160</u>	<u>Q</u>	MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Motion filed: 06/10/2010</i> <i>Filed by: CBS CORPORATION</i>	<i>Response filed: 07/12/2010</i> <i>Reply filed: 08/11/2010</i>